## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Walter Clinton Batchelor,	) C/A No. 5:12-cv-2585 DCN KDW
Plaintiff,	) )
vs.	) )
Dr. Drago; Nurse Stokes; Nurse Spivey;	)
Nurse Smith; Dr. Tomarchio; Warden	)
Eagleton; SCDC Director Byars; Warden	)
McCall; Dr. Pate; and Dr. Thomas Moore,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 218) be denied.

This court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. Thomas v Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v.</u>
Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No objections

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him

5:12-cv-02585-DCN Date Filed 08/09/13 Entry Number 238 Page 2 of 2

## have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is **DENIED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

United States District Judge

August 9, 2013 Charleston, South Carolina

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.